

Exercise Sheet 6: Solutions

R.G. Pierse

1. (a) Regression yields:

```
=====
Dependent Variable: LC
Method: Least Squares
Date: 10/29/02   Time: 18:37
Sample(adjusted): 1950 1985
Included observations: 36 after adjusting endpoints
=====
Variable Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistic Prob.
=====
C          0.244716    0.213958    1.143759 0.2618
LC(-1)     0.708128    0.150811    4.695459 0.0001
LY         0.660755    0.067809    9.744325 0.0000
LY(-1)    -0.392093    0.124026   -3.161394 0.0036
INF       -0.164111    0.052693   -3.114502 0.0040
INF(-1)   0.015959    0.052421    0.304433 0.7629
=====
R-squared          0.999118    Mean dependent var    10.89442
Adjusted R-squared 0.998971    S.D. dependent var    0.252008
S.E. of regression 0.008085    Akaike info criterion -6.646632
Sum squared resid  0.001961    Schwarz criterion     -6.382712
Log likelihood     125.6394    F-statistic           6795.131
Durbin-Watson stat 2.023213    Prob(F-statistic)    0.000000
=====
```

- (i) The estimated short run income elasticity is 0.660755.
The estimated long run income elasticity is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\widehat{\beta}_3 + \widehat{\beta}_4}{1 - \widehat{\beta}_2} \\ = & \frac{0.660755 - 0.392093}{1 - 0.708128} \\ = & 0.920479 \end{aligned}$$

Using the *EViews* Wald coefficient restrictions test facility, we can test the hypothesis that this long-run elasticity is zero:

Wald Test on Long Run Elasticity

```
=====
Wald Test:
Equation: Untitled
=====
Null Hypothesis: (C(3)+C(4))/(1-C(2))
=====
F-statistic 733.3756   Probability 0.000000
Chi-square  733.3756   Probability 0.000000
=====
```

- (ii) The test for serial correlation yields:

Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test:

```
=====
F-statistic   0.069339   Probability  0.794163
Obs*R-squared 0.085871   Probability  0.769494
=====
```

On the basis of the Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation test, we would not reject the null of no autocorrelation.

(iii)

Wald Test of hypothesis: $\beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4 = 1$

=====

Wald Test:

Equation: Untitled

=====

Null Hypothesis: C(2)+C(3)+C(4)=1

=====

F-statistic 1.254592 Probability 0.271565

Chi-square 1.254592 Probability 0.262677

=====

We do not reject H_0 at the 5% significance level.

(iv) Subtracting C_{t-1} from both sides of

$$LC_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 LC_{t-1} + \beta_3 LY_t + \beta_4 LY_{t-1} \\ + \beta_5 INF_t + \beta_6 INF_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

we get

$$\Delta LC_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 LC_{t-1} - LC_{t-1} + \beta_3 LY_t + \beta_4 LY_{t-1} \\ + \beta_5 INF_t + \beta_6 INF_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t,$$

and, adding and subtracting $\beta_2 LY_{t-1}$ and $\beta_3 LY_{t-1}$, we obtain

$$\Delta LC_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 (LC_{t-1} - LY_{t-1}) - LC_{t-1} + \beta_3 \Delta LY_t \\ + (\beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4) LY_{t-1} + \beta_5 INF_t + \beta_6 INF_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t.$$

If the restriction

$$\beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4 = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\beta_3 + \beta_4}{1 - \beta_2} = 1$$

holds then we can rewrite this as

$$\Delta LC_t = \beta_1 + (\beta_2 - 1)(LC_{t-1} - LY_{t-1}) + \beta_3 \Delta LY_t \\ + \beta_5 INF_t + \beta_6 INF_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

which is the same as the restricted model

$$\Delta LC_t = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \Delta LY_t + \gamma_3 (LY_{t-1} - LC_{t-1}) \\ + \gamma_4 INF_t + \gamma_5 INF_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t.$$

with the parameter correspondences: $\gamma_1 = \beta_1$, $\gamma_2 = \beta_3$, $\gamma_3 = 1 - \beta_2$,
and $\gamma_4 = \beta_5$ and $\gamma_5 = \beta_6$.

2.

Unrestricted Distributed Lag

```
=====
Dependent Variable: Y
Method: Least Squares
Date: 10/29/02   Time: 19:28
Sample(adjusted): 1953:08 1957:12
Included observations: 53 after adjusting endpoints
=====
Variable Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistic Prob.
=====
C          92.95484    56.37294    1.648927 0.1063
X           0.057709    0.052537    1.098451 0.2780
X(-1)      0.102538    0.078054    1.313676 0.1958
X(-2)      0.214550    0.077012    2.785941 0.0078
X(-3)      0.184946    0.076605    2.414266 0.0200
X(-4)      0.114580    0.077278    1.482704 0.1453
X(-5)      0.041156    0.076684    0.536696 0.5942
X(-6)      0.133245    0.079779    1.670182 0.1020
X(-7)      0.110570    0.058545    1.888639 0.0655
=====
R-squared          0.989442    Mean dependent var    3229.849
Adjusted R-squared 0.987523    S.D. dependent var    1157.760
S.E. of regression 129.3240    Akaike info criterion 12.71604
Sum squared resid  735886.7    Schwarz criterion     13.05062
Log likelihood     -327.9750    F-statistic           515.4453
Durbin-Watson stat 0.425647    Prob(F-statistic)    0.000000
=====
```

(a) The estimated impact (short-run) multiplier is 0.057709.

The estimated long-run multiplier is

$$\begin{aligned} & 0.057709 + 0.102538 + 0.214550 + 0.184946 \\ & + 0.114580 + 0.041156 + 0.133245 + 0.110570 \\ = & 0.95930 \end{aligned}$$

Using the *EViews* Wald coefficient restrictions test facility, we can test the hypothesis that this long-run multiplier is zero:

Wald Test on Long Run Multiplier

=====

Wald Test:

Equation: Untitled

=====

Null Hypothesis: C(2)+C(3)+C(4)+C(5)+C(6)+C(7)+C(8)+C(9)=0

=====

F-statistic 3209.189 Probability 0.000000

Chi-square 3209.189 Probability 0.000000

=====

(b) Form the Almon variables:

$$Z_0 = X + X(-1) + X(-2) + X(-3) + X(-4) \\ + X(-5) + X(-6) + X(-7)$$

$$Z_1 = X(-1) + 2 * X(-2) + 3 * X(-3) + 4 * X(-4) \\ + 5 * X(-5) + 6 * X(-6) + 7 * X(-7)$$

$$Z_2 = X(-1) + 4 * X(-2) + 9 * X(-3) + 16 * X(-4) \\ + 25 * X(-5) + 36 * X(-6) + 49 * X(-7)$$

$$Z_3 = X(-1) + 8 * X(-2) + 27 * X(-3) + 64 * X(-4) \\ + 125 * X(-5) + 6 * 36 * X(-6) + 7 * 49 * X(-7)$$

$$Z_4 = X(-1) + 16 * X(-2) + 81 * X(-3) + 256 * X(-4) \\ + 625 * X(-5) + 36 * 36 * X(-6) + 49 * 49 * X(-7)$$

Fourth Degree Almon Lag Polynomial

```

=====
Dependent Variable: Y
Method: Least Squares
Date: 10/29/02   Time: 19:45
Sample(adjusted): 1953:08 1957:12
Included observations: 53 after adjusting endpoints
=====

```

```

=====
Variable Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistic Prob.
=====

```

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	91.15685	55.03268	1.656413	0.1043
Z0	0.036566	0.045387	0.805649	0.4245
Z1	0.162404	0.172128	0.943511	0.3502
Z2	-0.051813	0.115507	-0.448572	0.6558
Z3	0.003884	0.025901	0.149944	0.8815
Z4	7.05E-05	0.001845	0.038189	0.9697

```

=====
R-squared          0.989220      Mean dependent var    3229.849
Adjusted R-squared 0.988073      S.D. dependent var    1157.760
S.E. of regression 126.4396      Akaike info criterion 12.62368
Sum squared resid  751387.9     Schwarz criterion     12.84673
Log likelihood      -328.5275      F-statistic           862.5750
Durbin-Watson stat 0.490582      Prob(F-statistic)     0.000000
=====

```

We can see from the p-value that the coefficient on the fourth order term, Z_4 , is not significant so we drop it and estimate the third degree polynomial Almon lag.

Third Degree Almon Lag Polynomial

```

=====
Dependent Variable: Y
Method: Least Squares
Date: 10/29/02   Time: 19:49
Sample(adjusted): 1953:08 1957:12
Included observations: 53 after adjusting endpoints
=====

```

```

=====
Variable Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistic Prob.
=====

```

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	91.31976	54.29340	1.681968	0.0991
Z0	0.035553	0.036435	0.975776	0.3341
Z1	0.168311	0.074723	2.252456	0.0289
Z2	-0.056093	0.027670	-2.027187	0.0482
Z3	0.004867	0.002645	1.840519	0.0719

```

=====
R-squared          0.989220      Mean dependent var    3229.849
Adjusted R-squared 0.988321      S.D. dependent var   1157.760
S.E. of regression 125.1175      Akaike info criterion 12.58597
Sum squared resid  751411.2    Schwarz criterion     12.77185
Log likelihood     -328.5283      F-statistic           1101.125
Durbin-Watson stat 0.489410      Prob(F-statistic)    0.000000
=====

```

We can see from the p-value that the coefficient on the third order term, $Z3$, is not significant so we drop it and estimate the second degree polynomial Almon lag.

Second Degree Almon Lag Polynomial

```

=====
Dependent Variable: Y
Method: Least Squares
Date: 10/29/02   Time: 19:56
Sample(adjusted): 1953:08 1957:12
Included observations: 53 after adjusting endpoints
=====

```

```

=====
Variable Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistic Prob.
=====

```

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	98.01741	55.47535	1.766864	0.0835
Z0	0.092330	0.019855	4.650281	0.0000
Z1	0.034612	0.017935	1.929833	0.0594
Z2	-0.005375	0.002569	-2.092453	0.0416

```

=====
R-squared          0.988459      Mean dependent var    3229.849
Adjusted R-squared 0.987752      S.D. dependent var   1157.760
S.E. of regression 128.1294      Akaike info criterion 12.61643
Sum squared resid  804440.6    Schwarz criterion     12.76513
Log likelihood     -330.3354      F-statistic           1398.878
Durbin-Watson stat 0.493917      Prob(F-statistic)    0.000000
=====

```

This time the p-value of the coefficient on the highest order term, $Z2$, shows that it is significant and so we stop searching and choose a second degree polynomial.

- (c) One way to obtain an estimate of the long-run (equilibrium) multiplier is to note that *in equilibrium*

$$X_t = X_{t-1} = X_{t-1} = \dots = X_{t-7}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_t &= c + 7 * \alpha_0 * X_t + (1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + 7) * \alpha_1 * X_t \\
 &\quad + (1 + 4 + 9 + \dots + 49) * \alpha_2 * X_t.
 \end{aligned}$$

The long-run multiplier is therefore given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 &7 * \hat{\alpha}_0 + (1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + 7) * \hat{\alpha}_1 + (1 + 4 + 9 + \dots + 49) * \hat{\alpha}_2 \\
 &= 0.86298.
 \end{aligned}$$

A *Wald* test for the hypothesis that the long-run multiplier is zero is given by:

Wald Test on Long Run Multiplier

=====

Wald Test:

Equation: Untitled

=====

Null Hypothesis: $7*C(2)+7*4*C(3)+(1+4+9+16+25+36+49)*C(4)=0$

=====

F-statistic 1742.687 Probability 0.000000

Chi-square 1742.687 Probability 0.000000

=====